

Attorney Docket: 1860/48111RE

<u>IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE</u>

Applicant:

GERD BRANDTHORST ET AL.

Serial No.:

09/368,505

Group Art Unit:

3754

Filed:

AUGUST 5, 1999

Group Director:

Richard BERTSCH

Title: DEVICE FOR EMPTYING A FILM TUBE (PENTAMIX REISSUE)

RECEIVED CONDITIONAL NOTICE OF APPEAL

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

JAN 3 1 2003 TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

Sir:

A third non-final Office Action in this case was mailed November 30, 2001. A Reply was filed on February 20, 2002, and, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.191(a) a Notice of Appeal was on March 12, 2002 and the Appeal Brief was timely filed on April 10, 2002.

The Examiner issued a Final Office Action on July 5, 2002 erroneously stating that the Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief are improper since the reply filed on April 20, 2002 (actually February 20, 2002) had not yet been examined.

A Petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.181 to withdraw the Office Action mailed July 5, 2002, and to order the Examiner to write an Examiner's Answer to the Brief on Appeal filed April 10, 2002, or in the alternative to consider the Notice of Appeal filed March 12, 2002, as being a response to the improper final rejection, was filed on July 16, 2002. To date, no decision on the Petition has

been received despite the filing of two Status Requests on October 8, 2002, and December 4, 2002.

Accordingly, even though it is believed that no second Notice of Appeal is necessary under the circumstances, this Conditional Notice of Appeal is being filed in an abundance of caution. The Appeal Fee was already paid on March 12, 2002. Appellants hereby again appeal the rejection of Claims 6-38.

Respectfully submitted

January 6, 2003

Herbert I. Cantor

Registration No. 24,392

CROWELL & MORING LLP P.O. Box 14300 Washington, D.C. 20044-4300 Tel. 202-624-2500 Fax 202-628-8844